Case 1:07-cv-04378-AKH Document	1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
JOSEPH MCCORMACK AND ELIZABETH MCCORMACK	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,  - against -  A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,  SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
Defendants.	
2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	stein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, r all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.  OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master C instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in add Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked	

the lual f(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, JOSEPH MCCORMACK AND ELIZABETH MCCORMACK, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

## I. PARTIES

#### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

ndividual a	nd a citizen of New York resid	ling at 46 Cortlandt Roa	er the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an ad, Mahopac, NY 10541
2.	Alternatively, □	(OR) is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim i	n his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
	Please r	ead this document carefi	ully.
	It is very important that you f	fill out each and every se	ction of this document.

Cas	se 1:07-cv-04378-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. New York res Injured Plaint	siding at 46 Cortlandt Road, Mahopac, I iff:  SPOUSE at all relevant times I JOSEPH MCCORMACK, and the injuries sustained by her hu MCCORMACK.	hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of NY 10541-, and has the following relationship to the herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff d brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to asband (his wife), Plaintiff JOSEPH  Other:
4. Department (	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 6/1/20 NYPD) as a detective at:	002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York Police
I	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
Location(s) ( <i>i</i> From on or ab	Trade Center Site f.e., building, quadrant, etc.)  pout _9/11/2001_ until _6/1/2002_;	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
	ly <u>20</u> hours per day; for ly <u>100</u> days total.	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
From on or ab Approximatel Approximatel	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh From on or ab Approximatel	Kills Landfill  bout until;  ly hours per day; for  ly days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
*Continue th	<u>-</u>	pper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

<ul> <li>U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pt 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilize U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequent by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air T Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pt 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Safety and System Stabilization</li> </ul>	Injured	
<ul> <li>405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabiliz U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequer by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air T Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pr 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization System System</li></ul>		B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
<ul> <li>by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air T Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. P 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization</li> </ul>		B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabiliz		
further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.		im to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 3)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 2101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 11/2/06 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION ☑ DECUTEL ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 11/2/06	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	$\square$ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
	<u> </u>

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP ☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

### 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-04378-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers J; ☑ Contested, by val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	urisdiut the	iction, (or); $\square$ Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial law:	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above bility, and asserts each element necessary to est		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>▼</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

## Case 1:07-cv-04378-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.

Date of onset:

Cancer Injury: N/A.

Date of onset:

 $\checkmark$ 

Other:

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

	Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 9/17/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
<b>\</b>	Digestive Injury: <u>Kidney and Bladder</u> Problems; Stomach Problems, Including, but not limited to, Nausea and/or Acid Reflux Date of onset: 9/17/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: <u>To be supplied at a later date</u>	V	Other Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
Grour dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	the inju	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	the inju	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ====	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:	the inju	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama; ==== <b>☑</b>	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:  Pain and suffering	the inju	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 6, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Joseph McCormack and Elizabeth

McCormack\_

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York,

New York, New York

March 6, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK JOSEPH McCORMACK (AND WIFE, ELIZABETH McCORMACK), Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:  $\square$  NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at Μ. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP